UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTERKS OF FICE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

2004 JUN 21 A 11: 78 NO.
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASS

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS ENTERTAINMENT I, LLC d/b/a CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS,

Plaintiff

V.

MARK DUGGAN

Defendant

<u>ANSWER</u>

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Admitted.
- 2. Admitted
- 3. Admitted

APPLICABLE LAW

- 4. Admitted
- 5. Denied

PARTIES

- 6. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 7. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 8. Admitted

COUNT I – VIOLATIONS OF 47 U.S.C. Sec 553

- 9. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 10. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 11. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 12. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 13. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 14. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 15. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 16. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 17. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 18. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 19. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 20. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 21. The defendant does not possess sufficient information to either admit or deny this allegation.
- 22. Denied
- 23. Denied
- 24. Denied

Denied	
Denied	
Denied	
Denied	
	COUNT II –VIOLATIONS OF 47 USC Sec. 605
Denied	
	Denied Denied Denied Denied Denied Denied Denied Denied

COUNT III – FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS ACT INJUNCTIONS

35. Denied

WHEREFORE, the defendant requests that this Court deny the Prayer For Relief requested by the Plaintiff.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

The defendant raises the following affirmative defenses:

- 1. Statute of limitations
- 2. Laches.

The defendant, MARK DUGGAN

Walter H. Underhill, Esquire

66 Long Wharf Boston, MA 02110

Tel.# (617) 523-5858 BBO # 506300

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Walter H. Underhill, Esquire, do hereby certify there I have served a copy of this Answer to the Plaintiff's attorney, Christopher L. Brown, 99 High Street, 20th Floor, Boston, MA 02110 by facsimile and first class mail today, June 2004.

SIGNED:

DATE: